



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/681,632	05/14/2001	Bradley J. Florin	1261.03	8724	
21901	7590 09/24/2004		EXAMINER		
SMITH & HOPEN PA 15950 BAY VISTA DRIVE			LE, KHANH H		
15950 BAY \ SUITE 220	VISTA DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEARWAT	ER, FL 33760	3622			

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			09/681,632	9/681,632 FLORIN, BRADLEY		EΥ J.		
		1	Examiner		Art Unit			
*		1	Khanh H. Le		3622	W()		
	The MAILING DATE of this commun.	ication appea	ars on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	dress		
THE M - Extensi after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commerced for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(nunication. 0) days, a reply w atutory period will will, by statute, ca	(a). In no event, within the statutor apply and will ex ause the applica	however, may a reply be tin y minimum of thirty (30) day opire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>5/14/20</i>	001.					
2a)□ T	his action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a	ction is non	-final.				
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4; 5)□ 0 6)⊠ 0 7)□ 0	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the act and Of the above claim(s) 16-19 is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 and 20 is/are rejected claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 16-19 are subject to restrict and Papers	e withdrawn						
9)∐ TI	ne specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	teplacement drawing sheet(s) including ne oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation e the attached detailed Office action	documents h documents h of the priority nal Bureau (l	have been r have been r y document PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applications have been received 7.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pution Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 6/14/0 (Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate	O-152)		

Art Unit: 3622

Detailed Action

1. This Office Action is responsive to the original Application.

Claims Objections

2. Many typographical errors are found.

Apparently, there are 2 claims labeled 8. The second one should be claim 9.

Now labeled claims 9-13, should be relabeled 10-14, respectively.

There seems to be missing a claim label which should be claim 15 and which is now dependent on claim 14.

Now labeled claim 14 should be claim 15.

Now labeled claim 15 should be relabeled 17 and be dependent on claim 16.

Now labeled claim 16 should be relabeled 18.

Now labeled claim 17 should be relabeled 19 and be dependent on claim 18.

The last claim should be labeled claim 20.

Appropriate corrections are required.

The Examiner has relabeled the claims for examination purposes only.

Claim 14. (Now labeled claim 13) should read "

...establishing a conference system, the system having a plurality of conference areas; establishing a conference feature communicatively coupled to the application; associating at least one predetermined conference area with the use of the skin." (per telephone conversation with Mr. Hopen, Applicant's representative, on 9/8/04). Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,632 Page 3

Art Unit: 3622

Election/Restrictions

- 3. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Hopen, Applicant's representative, on 9/8/04, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-15, and 20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 16-19 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-15, 20, drawn to a method of obtaining demographic information relating to the use of a user computer software application, classified in class 705, subclass 14.
 - II. Claims 16-19, drawn to a method and computer program for authoring a skin with embedded demographic information, classified in class 709, subclass 221.
- 5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as the providing of ads based on the skin aesthetics while invention II has the separate utility of the demographics being embedded in the skin whereby intellectual rights may be premised upon. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Claim interpretation

6. As to claims 1, 6, 10, 20, it is interpreted that the claims involve being responsive to the use of the "skin", (with "skin" defined according to the specifications, at page 1, paragraph [0004]) and thus imply the use of computer software technology. 35 U.S.C. 101 issues are thus not raised.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-15, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoyle, US 6141010, herein Hoyle, in view of Burge et al., US 6014638 A, herein Burge.

Hoyle discloses a method and apparatus for providing a software application that instantly provides targeted advertising based upon demographics as well as user interactions with the computer such as selection of programs like spreadsheets.

Art Unit: 3622

Thus as to claims 1-3, 6, 11-13, 20, Hoyle discloses

A method of obtaining anonymous (see at least col. 7 lines 26-45, Fig. 8 and associated text)

demographic information relating to the use of a user computer software application, the method comprising the steps of:

establishing a client-side software application usage monitoring application adapted to detect the presence of at least one skin on a client-side computing device;

establishing a demographic data store communicatively coupled to the client-side skin monitoring application (see at least Figs. 3, 8 and associated text);

establishing an identity of at least one skin on the client-side computing device through the client-side monitoring application see at least col. 17 lines 26-45)

and transmitting the identity of the at least one skin to the demographic data store (see at least col. 17 lines 26-45)

retrieving an array of demographic information responsive to the registration of a client-side application (see at least Figs. 10, 12 and associated text; col. 2 lines 43-60);

storing the array in the data store (see at least Figs. 10, 12 and associated text);

responsive to a use of the software application, establishing a data connection between the data store and the application (see at least Figs. 3, 8 and associated text);

Art Unit: 3622

updating the array to reflect the use of the user software application (see at least Figs. 3, 8 and associated text);

aggregating a plurality of arrays which reflect the use of the user software application (see at least col. 7 lines 26-45);

resolving a common demographic profile associated with the user software application (see at least col. 7 lines 26-45); and

sending demographically targeted content to the application responsive to the use of the user software application (see at least col. 1 lines 43-47);

Hoyle does not specifically disclose one of the user applications monitored is a skin.

However, Burge discloses a predictive model to deduce profiles from navigational/ graphical selections of the user such as selections of background colors, music and sounds, options, shapes, background items, etc.. to send targeted content and content display characteristics. (see at least abstract, col.8 lines 21-48). Official Notice is taken that skins or screensavers are made up of aesthetics such as colors, sizes and shapes. Thus it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use skins as sources of user preferences to target ads as used in Burge. Further, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to add Burge's targeting based on skins aesthetics preferences, as discussed above to Hoyle to target content and content display characteristics as taught by Burge.

Further since a screensaver is merely one type of software normally found on a user's computer, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to add screensavers to Hoyle's teachings, to expand the list of computer programs based on which ads can be tailored reactively, as taught by Hoyle (see abstract).

Art Unit: 3622

As to claims 4 and 14 (dependent on claims 1 and 11, respectively), Hoyle discloses a notes module to communicate among many users (see at least col. 12 line 47-col. 13 lines 10) thus Hoyle discloses establishing a conference system, the system having a plurality of conference areas; establishing a conference feature communicatively coupled to the application.

Hoyle also discloses associating at least one predetermined conference area with the use of a particular user action (see at least col. 12 lines 54-58: the particular subject matter of the note, e.g. sports related is considered a "predetermined conference area" which is shown, based on a second user's action such as clicking on a sports webpage), Hoyle does not specifically disclose the user action is the selection of a skin, however it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hoyle's clicking on a sports website to trigger the note (the "predetermined conference area") with clicking to select a skin because both actions allow deducing user demographics based on which targeted messages (notes) are sent.

As to claims 5 and 15 (dependent on claims 4 and 14) Hoyle also discloses defaulting to the at least one predetermined conference area responsive to an execution of the conference feature and the use of a particular action (see at least col. 12 line 47- col. 13 lines 10). The modification of Hoyle to include the use of a skin is as explained in claims 4 and 14 above.

As to claim 7 (dependent on claim 6), it is admitted third-party skin applications such as the NeoPlanet web browser, the WinAmp music player, or the like are well-known (Specifications at paragraph [0040]). Thus it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to add such admittedly known skins, enabled on a third-party skinable application, to Hoyle/Burge because they are readily available.

Art Unit: 3622

As to claims 8-10 (dependent on claim 6) Official Notice is taken that monitoring internal operating system messaging, preselecting an array of file directories to and on a client-side storage device to detect the use of an application are common and efficient ways of detecting such uses. Thus it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to add and take advantage of these well-known techniques to Hoyle/Burge to effect detecting the skin application.

Conclusion

9. Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

PROFILE: POINTCAST DOMINATES INTERNET BROADCAST MARKET,

Internet Week, v2, n31, pN/A, August 5, 1996, DIALOG(R)File 636, Record # 03221406, discloses customized /targeted screen savers showing information including news, sports, entertainment, weather, and stock tickers (see 3rd full paragraph).

Kobata, US 6058418, discloses a system and client-side software having a unique serial number to detect demographics of a client including CPU power, hard disk space, identities of applications installed and run, network connectivity and log-in history to automatically send targeted content and format of content (see at least Figs 4, 5; col. 5 lines 1-16, col. 3 lines 1-27),. The demographics database is built and updated.

Dedrich, US 5752238 A, discloses user profiles, made up of preferences such as <u>color</u> preferences, favorite sizes and shapes, are used for targeted content and content display characteristics.

Art Unit: 3622

Reilly et al, US 5740549 discloses targeted ads delivered thru a screensaver (see at least Fig. 6 and associated text; col. 5 lines 1-45).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh H. Le whose telephone number is 703-305-0571. The Examiner works a part-time schedule and can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113

September 13, 2004

rHV

KHL

JAMES W. MYHRE PRIMARY EXAMINE